

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,928		01/28/2002	Shigetoshi Sasano	2001_1923A	1452
513	7590	09/17/2004	EXAMINER		INER
WENDE 2033 K S'		LIND & PONACK, I	SERGENT, RABON A		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1711	
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			P 1				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/055,928	SASANO ET AL.				
	omoc Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this second is	Rabon Sergent	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2004.						
		action is non-final.					
3)	- A The state of the final fin						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(•						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	ent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/055,928

Art Unit: 1711

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 8, 2003 has been entered.

2. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Firstly, within claim 1, the use of the word, "type", within the language, "solvent-free type laminator", renders the claims indefinite, because the word so extends the scope of the term that it is rendered indefinite. One cannot determine with certainty exactly what laminators are encompassed by the language.

Secondly, within claim 1, the language, "... in a solid phase modified with an octadecyl group, ...", is not understood. It is unclear how this language relates to the rest of the language of the claim.

Lastly, the subject matter of claim 2 fails to further limit claim 1, because the open language, "comprises" and "comprising", is broader in scope than the more restricted language, "consists essentially of", of claim 1. Furthermore, it is unclear if the ethylene glycol and/or propylene glycol are present in addition to the polyester polyol or if the glycols are the reactants for making the polyester polyol.

3. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

Application/Control Number: 10/055,928

Art Unit: 1711

Page 3

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Within claim 1, it is unclear how to interpret the language, "... in a solid phase modified with an octadecyl group ...". It is unclear how the language relates to the rest of the claim.

4. The prior art rejection has been withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims and the 37 CFR 1.132 declaration of August 19, 2004.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent

September 15, 2004

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER